



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,845	08/21/2001	Bartel Marinus Van De Sluis	NL000469	7423

24737 7590 10/27/2004

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

BARQADLE, YASIN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/933,845

Applicant(s)

VAN DE SLUIS, BARTEL
MARINUS

Examiner

Yasin M Barqadle

Art Unit

2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/21/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-10 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer et al 20010031066).

As per claim 1, Meyer et al teach a method of enhancing rendering of a content item (fig. 1), comprising receiving a portion of the content item from a client system (200, 220) (§ 29 and § 40) user establishes a connection with object identifier) (200, 220), processing the received portion to obtain an identifier for the content item (§ 22; 30 and 40. see § 56), obtaining further information on the content item

Art Unit: 2153

using the identifier (based on the identifier action is performed such as looking up a database, and transmitting the further information to the client system (200,220) [returning information to client ¶ 22-24 and ¶ 40].

As per claim 2, Meyer et al teach a client system (200,220) arranged for enhanced rendering of a content item (fig. 1), comprising a receiver for receiving the content item (¶ 20, player, tuner or capture device) input means (203,224) for marking the received content item (¶ 31 and 111), and tracking means (204, 224) for in response to said marking automatically providing identifying data for the marked content item to a remote server system (¶ 77-80), and for subsequently receiving further information on the content item from the remote server system [¶ 22-24 and ¶ 77-80].

As per claim 3, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 2, wherein the identifying data comprises a portion of the marked content item [¶ 17 and 28; ¶ 77-80].

As per claim 4, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 2, wherein the tracking means (204,224) are arranged for detecting a watermark in the marked content item, and the identifying data comprises an identifier obtained from the detected watermark [¶ 97].

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 5, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 2, wherein the farther information comprising an identifier for the content item, and the tracking means (204, 224) are arranged for providing the identifier to an e-commerce system (160) [¶ 15 and ¶ 34].

As per claim 6, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 5, wherein the tracking means (204, 224) are arranged for adding the identifier to a shopping list (161) for a user of the e-commerce system (160) [¶ 15 and ¶ 34-35].

As per claim 7, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 5, wherein the tracking means (204, 224) are arranged for receiving a list of items related to the marked content item from the commerce system (160) in response to providing the identifier to the e-commerce system [¶ 22-24; ¶ 34-35 and ¶ 77-80].

As per claim 8, Meyer et al teach the client system (200, 220) of claim 5, wherein the tracking means (204, 224) comprise a tracking buffer for storing the identifier to facilitate automatically providing the identifier to the e-commerce system (160) when the client system (200, 220) is coupled to transfer device [¶ 63-65].

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 4, Meyer et al teach a server system (fig. 1, server 1) arranged for facilitating enhanced rendering of a content item [¶ 22-24], comprising receiving means (251) for receiving a portion of the content item from a client system ((¶ 29 and ¶ 40), processing means (252) for processing the received portion to obtain an identifier for the content item (¶ 22; 30 and 40. see ¶ 56), lookup means (253, 260) for obtaining further information on the content item using the identifier (¶ 22-25 and ¶ 75-76), and for transmitting the further information to the client system [returning information to client ¶ 22-24 and ¶ 40].

As per claim 10, Meyer et al teach the server system of claim 9, the processing means (252) being arranged for computing a hash value for the received portion of the content item, the identifier comprising the computed hash value [¶ 28 and ¶ 46-48].

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Bargadle whose telephone number is 703-305-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.


Art Unit: 2153

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 703-305-4792. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Yasin Barqadle

Art Unit 2153



FRANTZ B. JEAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER